

# Human Rights

*Comparison between the declaration of Human rights  
and Divine Rights in Islam*

**By Anjem Choudary**

*Al-Muhajiroun publications*  
[admin@almuhajiroun.com](mailto:admin@almuhajiroun.com)

- CONTENTS -

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>The Universal Declaration of Human Rights</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>18</b>

## *Introduction*

---

Thursday December the 10th 1998 marks the 50th anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. It is due to be celebrated at Westminster Abbey hosted by the United Nations and Amnesty International. For those who are unaware human rights is a contemporary form of the doctrine of natural rights, first formulated by Locke and later expressed in terms of rights of man. Natural or human rights are those which men are conceived to have in virtue of their humanity and not in virtue of human fiat or law or convention. In 1948 the General Assembly of UNO adopted a Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which formulated in detail a number of rights, economic and cultural, as well as political, to form a standard of human rights. This was followed by a number of conventions and covenants, including the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, which have influenced national legislation and have allowed machinery for international enforcement.

Since any of the five permanent members of the Security Council (i.e. Britain, China, France, Russia and the USA) can veto any proposals to the General Assembly it becomes apparent that any formulation of Human Rights will necessarily mirror and will be structured around the ideas that these five secular nations hold, together with all the contradictions, prejudices and mistakes which are bound to arise when man takes upon himself the role of Legislator due to his inborn frailties.

If we compare the so-called 'human rights' which are enshrined within the Declaration of Human Rights with the Divine Rights given to all human beings by our creator Allah (swt) through his final message to humanity i.e. Al-Islam, we will find that there are significant differences between the two. These are apart from the fact that those given by God are not subject to change due to whim or desire and that they formed the basis of a civilization which eradicated common problems nowadays such as racism and poverty for over 1300 years under the authority of the Islamic State. This State was ironically destroyed at the hands of the western imperialistic powers such as France and Britain on the 3rd of March 1924.

Islam protects the belief, life, honour, mind and wealth of all citizens as well as ensuring that each individuals needs in terms of food, clothing and shelter are provided for not as a matter of charity but as a matter of divine obligation upon the Islamic State. Countries such as Ethiopia and Sudan flourished in the time

of their governance under the divine law enacted by the Islamic State to the extent that they were self sufficient in resources such as food and were even able to provide relief to other nations. For a comprehensive treatise of the subject of divine rights in Islam one can refer to The Shari'ah Court of The UK or The London School of Shari'ah. We will try to limit our discussion below to a comparison between the declaration of Human rights and Divine Rights in Islam.

# *The Universal Declaration of Human Rights*

The 30 articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights proclaim rights which supposedly belong equally to every person on Earth. Let us discuss each article in turn:

*Man says:* **Article 1**

*'All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights'*

This is very crude and simplistic. Islamically and therefore according to God a human being has rights even before birth! Man passes through various stages in his life and his Islamic rights, liabilities and responsibilities will differ according to his competence:

1. Al-Janin or The foetal stage: This stage begins at 40 days into pregnancy and lasts until birth.
2. Al-Tufula or Childhood: This stage begins at birth and lasts until the age of 7.
3. Al-Tameiz or The age of Distinction: This stage begins at 7 years of age and ends at Puberty.
4. Al-Bulough or Maturity: This stage begins at Puberty and ends at Death.
- [5. The Hanafi School of Thought classify a fifth stage beginning at Death and ending on the Day of Judgment during which period the deceased has the right to be buried, to have his Janaza prayed and for people not to spit on his/her grave etc.]

The foetus from 40 Days into Pregnancy until birth is described as having incomplete competence to be eligible for rights (i.e. Ahliyyat Al-Wujub Al-Naqisa or Ahliyyat Al-Wujub Ijabi). At this stage Islam has not defined any liabilities for the foetus and it has no responsibilities at all, only certain divine rights which do not require its acceptance. These rights however will only be completed upon birth if the Child is born alive. Ibnu Abbass (ra) described the foetus as on the one hand being considered part of the mother whilst on the other an independent human being.

The divine rights of the foetus are four according to the Hanafi and Maliki schools of thought and three for the Sha'fi and Hanbali schools. They differ with regard to whether or not the foetus has a right to the share of income from waqf (i.e. Ghalat Al-Waqf : from anything immovable/non-transformable i.e. Thabet which has been given up to Allah (swt) and who's income the state will ensure is distributed among the people).

The divine rights of the foetus which are agreed upon among the classical schools of thought are three:

1. Al-Nasab or lineage: the foetus has the divine right to be attributed to its parents.

Allah (swt) has promised his curse for those who reject their children (i.e. denounce them)

2. Al-Mirath or inheritance: the foetus has the divine right to inherit from blood relations under the Islamic inheritance rules.

3. Al- Wasiyya or inheritance under a testamentary document i.e. a will: the foetus has the divine right to inherit from people outside its blood relations who wish to leave it a bequest in their will.

NB: for 2) above since one does not usually know if the new born baby will be male or female two shares are allotted and if the mother bares twins they will receive one share each.

If the foetus is killed intentionally (i.e. aborted) by the mother, blood-money (i.e. Diyya Ghaliyya) is payable equivalent to one tenth of the blood-money payable for the intentional killing of an adult (i.e. one tenth of a hundred camels of which forty are pregnant NB: ten camels are equivalent to approx. £1,400 sterling). This will be payable to the state for distribution. If however a husband beat his pregnant wife causing miscarriage this same blood-money will be payable to the mother (together with any bequest). Alternatively if the foetus dies naturally before birth, no blood-money is payable however any bequest would revert back to the testator's estate for re-distribution.

Finally the foetus has been protected by the Shari'ah by Allah (swt) making it's abortion or the infliction of any harm to it (by e.g. a mother smoking) a sin.

As you can obviously and quite clearly see man-made law has a very long way to go before it even comes close to giving human beings the dignity and rights that Allah (swt), in his infinite wisdom, has granted. The above article 1 is

vague and unworkable and does not distinguish between people at different stages of their life or cater for the specific rights of men and women.

*Man says:* **Article 2**

*'Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this declaration, without distinction of any kind'*

Ironically it is the same United Nations General Assembly which enacted this Declaration which decided not to take any action against the Jewish Apartheid State of Israel while it continues to take Muslim land and murder Muslim women and children, it is the same UN which decided to bomb innocent women and children in hospitals in Iraq and murdered millions through its sanctions in Iraq and in Libya, it is the same UN which stood by, after taking away the weapons of the Mujahideen, whilst Christian Serbs massacred Muslims and buried them in mass graves and it is the same UN which allowed the Hindu army to rape and torture thousands of Muslims in Kashmir.

It is plain that if you are Muslim you effectively have no rights under the Declaration which appears to be a convenient sponge to soak up the anger of Muslims whilst their land and resources are raped and pillaged by the colonialist disbelievers. "Freedom" is the cornerstone of western capitalism and it manifests itself in the four famous freedoms of belief, expression, ownership and personal freedom. To give an example of each will help us to throw some light on them. Under the freedom of expression Salman Rushdie was allowed to dishonour the Messenger Muhammad (saw) by calling his wives prostitutes. Under freedom of ownership 95% of the worlds resources are held by 5% of the population, mainly in the hands of the aristocracy and industrialists who hold the rest of the world at ransom through extortionate interest rates and direct and indirect investment through the UN's International Monetary Fund. Under personal freedom the State of Missouri allows bestiality and marriage between humans and animals (NB. what about the rights of animals?) and America have formed an organization called NAMBLA (North American Men And Boys Lovers Association) allowing Paedophilia. Finally, under freedom of belief people worship Satan and involve themselves in ritual sacrifices and mutilation.

*Man says:* **Article 3**

*'Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person'*

It stands to reason that the capitalist states which drew up this declaration are going to push their own concepts and this article is no exception. Liberty is just another name for freedom the profane idea used to impose the disease of secularism world-wide.

*Man says:* **Article 4**

*'No one shall be held in slavery or servitude'*

Rather than merely paying lip-service to this Islam came to emancipate slaves from being merely items of property without any rights, to according them rights in all spheres of life. Islam specifies many circumstances in which slaves must be released such that history has shown Islam to be the strongest single force behind the eradication of slavery some 1300 years before the Declaration of Human Rights. Moreover it is prohibited in Islam to enslave someone, rather slaves become so because of specified circumstances, for example as a consequence of war etc. What is therefore required is a workable system not rhetoric without substance. It would be well to bear in mind that those countries who have enslaved people most and who have benefited most from the exploitation of slaves historically have done it in the name of such axioms as 'freedom of ownership' and are those same countries which today form the Security Council of the UN.

*Man says:* **Article 5**

*'No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment'*

A favourite theme for the Orientalists is to attack Islam for its punishment system. With 1.7 million prisoners currently being caged in the US and 3,400 on death row Amnesty International would be well advised to ask why they are there in the first place rather than concentrating on prison conditions. The Islamic Judicial system is far superior to any system man has ever devised in history. The strictness concerning evidence has meant that only around 200 people ever had their hands cut in 1300 years of Islamic Rule and the incidences of theft were very low. The Islamic system allows a person aggrieved of a crime the right to forgive the accused or to demand compensation for certain crimes. A person has the right to take the ruler to court and even remove him if he transgresses against the Shari'ah. There are four categories of punishment in Islam which protect the rights of Allah, of the Individual, of the Community and of the State. A persons fate is not left to the whims and prejudices of 12 fallible people but in the hands of a qualified judge. In addition Islamic punishments are executed without delay or hesitation and torture is prohibited.

Islam has prohibited those diseases pushed under the Declaration and 'freedom' such as pornography, Paedophilia, bestiality, gambling, drugs and alcohol which seek to exploit and enslave people to their instincts and desires resulting in rising crime rates and the anarchy which is prevalent today in western society. Islam ensures the fulfilment of everyone's right to basic food,

clothing and shelter and protects everyone's Religion, Life, Wealth, honour and Mind thereby reducing the incidence of crime within society to an almost negligible level.

Even during war Islam has defined the parameters of what is allowed: women, children and the elderly are not allowed to be targeted. Crops, resources or trees are not allowed to be destroyed. There are also strict rules concerning prisoners of war and their treatment which resulted in many of them embracing Islam during the existence of the Islamic State.

What is considered to be cruel, inhuman and degrading is subjective from individual to individual. Rather than giving a licence to man to gradually increase or to decrease the severity of punishment Islam allows the infallible creator of man, life and the universe to reign supreme and adopts the laws of Allah (swt) the justice of which has been testified to by history. Unlike man God does not discriminate between black and white and does not give a right today only to take it away tomorrow.

*Man says:* **Article 6**

*'Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law'*

With man-made law being subjective to the whims and desires of every nation (apart from the Islamic State) this is a statement without any meaning. What is considered to be justice for one man will be injustice for another unless we refer to divine law.

*Man says:* **Article 7**

*'All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law'*

*Man says:* **Article 8**

*'Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law'*

*Man says:* **Article 9**

*'No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile'*

*Man says:* **Article 10**

*‘Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal’*

When we consider that the western judicial system has as its central theme ‘trial and judgment by jury’ and that the jury will already have been indoctrinated by the education system and the media (which are vehemently anti-Islamic) these are merely rhetorical statements without substance.

Under the Islamic State people of different beliefs are allowed to have their own courts to judge between them in certain issues which do not affect society such as in matrimonial issues. Contrast this with the law in for example Britain which does not even recognise Islamic Culture and Beliefs as worthy of any protection.

The problem with these particular articles is that although justice is supposed to be seen to have been done, justice is yet again that which man defines and since he is subject to contradiction, mistake and difference of opinion the practical reality is that there will never be justice without the implementation of the infallible divine law.

Is this an attempt to patch up the obvious incompetence of the rest of this Declaration by the use of vague and subjective terms such as ‘arbitrary’, ‘competent’, ‘equality’ and ‘impartial’?

*Man says: **Article 11***

*‘Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty’*

There are at least two problems with this particular article as far as Islam and therefore justice is concerned. Firstly, the ease with which guilt is found in courts in the west due to their reliance on circumstantial evidence as sufficient proof and secondly the ease with which exceptions are found to this rule; hence we have many applications for bail refused with un-tried defendants spending months and maybe even years in jail before his/her case is heard. On the other hand Islam only allows eye-witnesses as proof apart from self-confession and a person is not only innocent but also free until proven guilty in the Islamic State.

*Man says: **Article 12***

*‘No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation’*

There is a contradiction between this and the concept of freedom of expression. For under freedom of expression one can insult Muslims by attacking the honour of the Messenger Muhammad (saw).

The hypocrisy is in the fact that under human rights one may nevertheless dishonour himself by having a relationship with an animal or a child under the concept of personal freedom! Islam on the other hand ensures that everyone's honour is protected whether from their own actions or from those of another and it ensures that no one's religion will be insulted, rather a healthy forum of debate and discussion will be allowed in order to search for the truth.

*Man says: Article 13*

*“Everyone has the right to freedom of movement’ (within your country...and from your country)”*

*Man says: Article 14*

*‘Everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution’*

*Man says: Article 15*

*‘Everyone has a right to a Nationality’*

These articles concentrate upon the issue of Nationality and enforce the concept of national identity. Nationalism is another form of racism which propagates the idea that people are to be treated according to their race, colour, looks or country of birth. This has led to physical attacks on ‘ethnic minorities’, discrimination, abuse and even wars. The Western capitalist states have always tried to push the idea of Nation States or race which is a concept alien to Islam. Racism manifests itself in the West in the constitution, in ruling, in the economy, in education, in the judiciary, in the international arena, in the media, by groups and by individuals. Islam came to eradicate racism and famous personalities such as Malcolm X and Muhammad Ali are witness to the fact that it has done so. Islam does not judge someone, address them or treat them according to their Nationality rather Allah (swt) says in the Qur’an:

*‘O Mankind! fear your Guardian-Lord who created you from a single person, created, of like nature, his mate, and from them twain scattered(like seeds) countless men and women’ [EMQ 4:1]*

Imam Baihaqi narrated that the Messenger Muhammad (saw) said: ‘O People, all of you are from Adam and Adam was of clay.’ Islam does however distinguish between those who are Muslim and the non-Muslims within the Islamic State

since although they are both subject to protection of their life, honour, belief, wealth and mind and all are entitled to have their basic needs met, each have specific rights suited to their belief and circumstances.

The Western imperialist powers know of the giant which will arise when the artificial borders which they have erected through the UN collapse. They know that it is an obligation for the Muslims to be united under one leader and to share their resources in order to look after and manage the affairs of all the Muslims world-wide. They know that a united Ummah (as was the case before the Khilafah was destroyed) will eradicate the hegemony of man-made law and its oppression once and for all. They know that their economic, military, ideological and strategic interests will be affected in Muslim countries, hence they continue to plant their puppets over Muslims such as King Fahd and Husni Mubarak to look after these interests and are even willing to use force to stop Muslims uniting as in the Gulf War. Muslims must be aware that the Declaration is another attempt to divide and rule the Muslims through pushing their corrupt concepts and it must be exposed. It is narrated in Sahih Muslim that the Messenger Muhammad (saw) said: *'Whoever calls for Nationalism is not one of us'*.

However as far as asylum from protection is concerned it is narrated in Ahmad upon the authority of Anas, over 1300 years before the Declaration, that the Messenger Muhammad (saw) said: *'If anyone comes to you give him protection for 1 year for him to embrace Islam, if he does then it is good, if not then he is a Zhimmi or he can leave freely'* (A Zhimmi is a non-Muslim living permanently in a Muslim Country who has a contract to do so, accepts the law and order of Islam and pays Jizya (i.e. poll tax)).

*Man says:* **Article 16**

*'Men and Women...have the right to marry and to found a family' (..both partners have equal rights in the marriage..)*

Man-made law, since it is based on mans limited and weak mind, will naturally not be able to deal effectively with the rights of husband and wife within marriage. Rather than giving them rights which are suited to their respective roles and aptitudes the simplistic and crude answer is to say they have the same rights without even defining what they are.

This despite the fact that through the propagation of freedom adultery, fornication, homosexuality, lesbianism, bestiality, pornography and paedophilia have already destroyed the moral and family structure within capitalist states giving this article no meaning in practical terms. Islam on the other hand deals with woman not as a sex object but as a wife, mother,

daughter, sister or aunt and a man as a husband, father, son, brother or uncle etc. and gives each rights over others and has defined specific liabilities for each. For example a wife has the right of maternity, of bringing up the children, of food, shelter and clothing, of spending upon her children, of tranquillity and security in marital life, to invest her money, to divorce (i.e. Khulla) and to inheritance and these rights are inalienable. On the other hand she is liable to her husband to look after his wealth, to obey him in his rights and to take care of his needs etc. Islam came to raise men and women to their rightful status and granted to each rights which no-one can take away. Man on the other hand continues to belittle the rights of women and in the name of 'freedom' uses her to satisfy his own selfish procreation instincts.

*Man says: Article 17*

*'Everyone has the right to own property'*

Under the secular idea of freedom of ownership 95% of the worlds resources are held by 5% of the population, mainly in the hands of the aristocracy and industrialists who hold the rest of the world at ransom through extortionate interest rates and direct and indirect investment through the UN's International Monetary Fund. Countries such as Ethiopia, who today are begging for hand-outs, were exporting food within the Islamic State to relieve famine. In Islam there are no taxes such as income, council and road tax which hit the poorest the hardest, rather there is no taxation for the poor. Public resources such as water like rivers and lakes, sources of energy like electricity, roads and railways cannot be privately owned and monopolies are not allowed preventing the people getting their basic needs. Hoarding of wealth has been prohibited and the Islamic economy focuses on the effective distribution of wealth and resources, rather than amassing them in useless stockpiles. In addition the State in Islam has the obligation to satisfy the basic needs of food, clothing and shelter for all citizens, not just those who don't work. Unlike capitalism, Islam also prohibits gambling and interest which exploit the poor to the advantage of the rich.

*Man says: Article 18*

*'Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion' (...the right to change your religion...and to practice and teach your religion)*

Since Islam is a complete way of life, with its unique ruling, economic, social, ritual, judicial and personal systems and foreign policy and it commands us to work to eradicate all National borders and to rule by nothing but what Allah (swt) has revealed, the only way to practice it fully is to scrap all man-made law including this Declaration! Hence, yet again this statement is totally

unworkable and another profane attempt to secularise others such as Muslims who believe in a different system of life.

*Man says:* **Article 19**

*'Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression' (..however unpopular..within your country and to people in other countries)*

Under the freedom of opinion and expression Salman Rushdie was allowed to dishonour the Messenger Muhammad (saw) by calling his wives prostitutes however when Muslims try to speak about and challenge the atrocities in Kashmir, Kosova or in Israel they are stopped by the law under the pretence of inciting racial hatred or conspiring to commit terrorism. This so-called 'freedom' is obviously a good tool for the disbelieving West to spread their propaganda and corruption, such as pornography, via satellite to all Muslims world-wide in order to continue their hegemony and to corrupt others just as they have been corrupted. The fallacy is all the more clear when one asks if freedom of expression exists then why have laws of libel and slander?

*Man says:* **Article 20**

*'Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association'*

Rather than to face a bloody revolution because of the corruption which is manifest on Earth due to the implementation of capitalism one is here allowed to vent and quench their anger in peaceful demonstrations!

The solution is obviously not gradual change by peaceful persuasion but wholesale change through a revolution carried by the army and supported by the masses as was achieved by the Messenger Muhammad (saw) when he established the first Islamic State in Madina.

*Man says:* **Article 21**

*'Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country' (..there should be...elections on a regular basis..)*

No! Islam lays down certain conditions for the leader in order to protect the people such as trustworthiness, maturity, sanity and being Muslim. In addition the leader must implement Islam otherwise he will be removed immediately ensuring that, unlike in the West, would-be candidates do not say one thing before the election only to do something completely different afterwards knowing full-well that they cannot be removed for another 5 years. In other words you have control over the laws you are subjected to. In Islam there is no

automatic succession or 'Royal Family' rather leadership is a matter of contract between the Ummah and the Khalifah with no fixed time limit allowing for stable governance. Finally anyone Muslim or Non-Muslim, male or female can approach the leader whether to advise him or account him.

*Man says: Article 22*

*'Everyone, as a member of society, has the right to social security and is entitled to realisation...of...economic, social and cultural rights'*

From our previous discussion it is clear that there is no concept of a multi-cultural society where everyone can have his/her own culture and practice it. Rather one culture is necessarily imposed upon all the others through a national curriculum and the legal system for example. (See Article 18 above for further elaboration).

*Man says: Article 23*

*'Everyone has the right to work...Everyone has the right to form and to join trade unions'*

*Man says: Article 24*

*'Everyone has the right to rest and leisure'*

*Man says: Article 25*

*'Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for...health and well-being'*

As already stated Islam has a unique economic system (see Article 17) which gives entrenched and unchangeable rights, guaranteeing the basic needs of all the people and protecting them from exploitation. The patchwork of trade unions stolen from socialists is not therefore required. As for 'health and well-being' surely it is absurd and too late to talk of this after allowing all the corruption one can imagine under the guise of freedom!!? Is it not rather time that we implemented Islam which looks after mankind's mental as well as physical health by addressing the problems in society from their basis and root, instead of dealing with the symptoms?

*Man says: Article 26*

*'Everyone has a right to education' (..which should strengthen respect for human rights..)*

The problem is not necessarily the right to an education but what one is educated with. Western capitalist States imbibe in children all their false ideas such as Nationalism, evolution and the worship of science instead of treating as a means of discovering the creation. Children are taught to conform to a code of dress that shows their nakedness. Islam is taught as a religion just like any other religion such as Christianity and Sikhism. Children grow up idolising pop-stars and footballers rather than appreciating the Messengers from their creator and worshipping God alone. All of this is also imposed as a National Curriculum with which you cannot disagree or control. In Islam education is a divine right of everyone and is provided free as a basic necessity. Children would be educated to build a personality, mentality and emotions in line with the Islamic teachings outlined above.

*Man says: Article 27*

*'Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community' (..You have the right to enjoy music, plays, exhibitions, poetry or any other form of artistic or collective expression.)*

It is not surprising that the secularists wish to push the expression of their own corruption to the rest of the world since they believe that it makes a positive contribution to the cultural life of the community and at the same time it will seek to mould peoples opinions in accordance with Western philosophy.

Islam on the other hand has set guidelines as to what is acceptable and what is not from the divine text in order to protect mankind from being misguided and to allow expression in a way which will not exploit mans desires and emotions but will rather allow him to appreciate the relationship between him and his creator more through, for example, calligraphy and Islamic poetry. Music, plays, idolatry and pornography all fall within this Article which have led to the degradation and destruction of many civilizations in history rather than their progress. Islam ensures that the reverence instinct in mankind is directed only towards the creator and not to the creation.

*Man says: Article 28*

*'Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realised'*

*Man says: Article 29*

*'Everyone has duties to the community'*

Man says: **Article 30**

*'Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying...any right in any activity...aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth'*

In other words no one can disagree with these so-called 'rights' despite being an anathema to all that is just and perfect from the creator in Islam. Where is the 'freedom' for others now?

## *Conclusion*

---

Despite Amnesty International and the UN insisting that they are free of political ideology they are clearly based on the secular, irreligious capitalist view-point of man, life and the universe and are plainly anti-Islam and Muslim. The UN on the one hand sanction the abuse of rights in Iraq, Libya, Sudan and Afghanistan by allowing the US to murder Muslims and yet at the same time stop Muslims from protecting themselves in Kashmir, Kosova and Israel by crying Human Rights. The Declaration is intended to indoctrinate Muslims and allow the UN to continue Western hegemony over Muslim land. This is not a case of Human Rights but of anarchy which Islam came to deal with and will do so. Thank God for sending us Messengers to guide us from the abyss of man-made law and to lead us to the tranquillity and justice enshrined within the Shari'ah. How long must we wait before man stops groping in the dark and comes into the beauty and light of Islam? Instead of trying to deal with the symptoms we need to deal with the cause and basis of the corruption which have led to the problems. Yes, the death penalty in the US should be abolished though not because killing is wrong but because of the man-made system.

We invite everyone to accept Islam as a belief and as the final system of life from the creator.