

USA *at war with* ISLAM

USA *at war*
with ISLAM

THE LOSING BATTLE

mna publications
mnapublic@yahoo.com

- CONTENTS -

<i>America Wants to Divide Iraq Into Three States</i>	3
<i>The Military Presence in the Gulf</i>	7
<i>American Expansionist Policy</i>	10
<i>The International Struggle Over Africa</i>	13
<i>“Our Target was Terror, Our Vision was Clear”</i>	18
<i>America Offers \$5m Bounty on Bin Laden</i>	20
<i>The Pillars of the US Campaign Against Islam</i>	22
<i>Declaration of the Conf. of Islamic Revival Movements</i>	25

America Wants to Divide Iraq

The escalation in the current crisis between America and Iraq has been caused by the American administration. America used the international committee for the elimination of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, as a tool to instigate problems with Iraq and to provoke her. What the head of the international committee, Richard Butler, raised regarding the necessity of opening all the presidential palaces for inspection by the committee without any restriction or condition, and his statement that Iraq owns biological weapons capable of destroying the population of Tel Aviv were to push Iraq to undertake measures that escalate the crisis, so that America could use that as an excuse for insisting on continuing the sanctions against Iraq and increasing the pressure on it and to amass its huge military forces in the Gulf and in the region, and to find an excuse for undertaking a military strike against Iraq.

These huge forces that America has brought to the Gulf and to the region are far bigger than it needs to strike Iraq in a way that obliges its regime to comply with the Security Council resolutions. This accordingly means that there is an aim other than making Iraq comply with the Security Council resolutions. This aim manifests in removing from Iraq its independence and political will, to eliminate it as a military force in the region, and divide it into three states, Kurdish in the north, Shi'ite in the south and Sunni in the centre. The concept of dividing Iraq was referred to by Bulent Ajaweed, Turkish Deputy Prime Minister, on the 8th February 1998 when he said in a press interview: *"I don't think a superpower like the United States does not have an after war plan. The United States wants to divide Iraq in order to establish the state of Kurdistan which will be under her control, it is clear that the aim of America is to establish Kurdistan and this aim is known since the Gulf war in 1991; had the two Kurdish factions managed to reach an agreement between them the State of Kurdistan would have already been established"*. Also the British Foreign Secretary alluded to the idea of division last week when he said: *"one of the possible results of an American military operation against Iraq is to divide the country"*. The British Minister of State, Lord Gilbert also said on the 13th February: *"The possibility of dividing Iraq in case of a military strike targeted against him cannot be disregarded"*. Also Abdul Haleem Khaddam, the Syrian vice-president, indicated this when he said in statements broadcasted by the Syrian News Agency on the 22nd January 1998: *"Iraq is facing two dangerous issues: the first, that targets the unity of the Iraqi soil and the unity of the State. And regardless of our relationship with Iraq whether good or bad we cannot but resist any attempts against the unity of Iraq, the unity of its soil, its national unity, and the unity of the state"*. He referred to... *"the existence*

of plans and actions to dismantle Iraq in the past, which still exists today. The second issue is concerning the suffering of the Iraqi people”.

This American aim is part of the formulation that America is preparing to reshape the whole region upon its basis, in order to impose its complete dominance over the Gulf region and the Middle East. The insistence of America on keeping the sanctions against Iraq and on building up this huge military force in the Gulf and the region is just to execute this aim. However, the idea of continuing the sanctions began to erode internationally. Britain wants to see a quick end to the sanctions, especially after the regional balance of power settled in favour of Iran, which is arming itself with all types of weapons, and after Khatami, the Iranian President started the process of normalisation with America. Also Russia, France and China among other states, are all working to put an end to the sanctions against Baghdad.

Though lifting the sanctions requires a decision from the Security Council, America can use the veto to prevent such a decision to be passed, she fears that the tide calling to lift the sanctions becomes stronger if it is proven that Iraq no longer owns any weapons of mass destruction, especially as Britain, France, Russia and China stand at the top of this international tide. Lifting the sanctions against Iraq would cause America to lose the winning card to increase pressure on Iraq, to suppress it and to divide it, hence America is doing all she can to maintain the sanctions.

America is aware that the final say is in using her huge military force and in carrying out a military strike against Iraq. Hence she amassed these huge forces and started to threaten the use of this military force to attack Iraq. What makes America delay this military strike is the opposition of the four superpowers in the Security Council: Russia, China, France and Britain against any military attack on Iraq. Though Britain appears to be going along with America, she is in reality working to resolve the crisis by diplomacy. This was declared by the Deputy of the British Foreign Office, Sir John Kear during his visit to Amman on the 7th February 1998, when he said: *“Britain might present in the next week a diplomatic initiative based on the Russian and French initiatives aiming at removing the potential spark of the crisis with Iraq”*. Though Britain is working to resolve the crisis by diplomacy, it will not leave America to carry out the military action on its own, it will rather participate in it if America insists to attack, so that she doesn't leave America to impose its complete dominance upon the area of British influence in the Gulf and Iraq. Carrying out the military strike by America is possible unless in the “eleventh hour” a complete retreat by Saddam occurs (following whispers in his ear) and his acceptance to implement the Security Council resolutions regarding the inspection of the presidential palaces and other places that the international committee (UNSCOM) suspects without restrictions or condition, and without specifying a certain period.

The movement of Kofi Annan, the UN secretary-general will be the indicator towards the kind of solution that will be implemented. If he travels to Baghdad, the indicator will point to the diplomatic solution, and if he does not travel to Baghdad the indicator will point to the military solution.

Even in the case of a diplomatic solution being reached now, it would not mean America will give up completely its plan, it would rather mean a temporary withdrawal until a new excuse is found.

Oh Muslims: Saddam is not the target of what America is doing. Saddam is in his palaces and he has all he needs in terms of food, clothing, etc. It is rather Iraq and its people, the Middle East region and its peoples, the other countries of the Islamic world and all Muslims that are the real target. The aim is to insult Muslims, humiliate them and degrade their position. It is not allowed for you Oh Muslims to accept that and to keep silent on it. Also it is not allowed for you to keep silent on the American dominance over any region in the Islamic world, or on the presence of any of her military forces or other Western forces, whether it was a naval force, air force or landed troops. You must work seriously to eliminate every dominance, and every military, political or economic presence of any kufur state in any Islamic country whether Arab or non-Arab.

Oh Muslims: All your rulers, without exception, stand at Americas side and support her when they demand that Iraq comply with the United Nations resolutions requiring the elimination of all its weapons of mass destruction and to abstain from their manufacture, the opening of the presidential palaces and other places for UNSCOM, without any restriction or condition, and without specifying any period of time. These rulers do that while at the same time keep silent over the silence of America regarding the violation of the Jewish State to all UN resolutions, and its possession of all weapons of mass destruction whether nuclear, biological, bacterial or chemical. They also remain silent over the possession by the superpowers of massive amounts of all types of weapons of mass destruction. They are also standing on Americas side by failing to support Iraq, and not standing in the face of America to prevent her from her hostile stance against Iraq and her stance in imposing the sanctions on Iraq, and enabling her to set up military bases for her armies in their countries to strike Iraq or other Islamic countries and sharing with her in meeting the expenses of these bases and armies, and of her fight against the Muslims. Even though America could not have fought against Iraq or other Islamic countries if the Muslims rulers did not allow her to land her troops in their countries and did not participate with her in fighting their brothers, and did not pay the expenses of this war and these armies. Had they stood firmly in front of her they would have not left for her any dominance in any Muslim country and they would not have allowed her to monopolise any part of Muslim wealth.

Oh Muslims: Those rulers have placed the Ummah in a huge prison, and they governed her like the police by force, and they have alienated her from the facts. They have used the visual, audible and written media means as tools to mislead her, fabricate the facts, and polish the ugly image of the rulers, to the extent that they made her lose her will, silenced her voice, and paralyse her, so that they can continue in governing her, and in providing their services to the kufr states which they are subordinate to. Hence these rulers are enemies to their Ummah and to their countries, and it is not allowed for the Muslims to keep silent towards them, and they must work to change them.

Oh Muslims: it is enough, for you to remain silent and to accept being kept out of the way. It is time for you to reject this submission to the dominance of these rulers, and the dominance of the kufr states, because you are capable to do so if you are determined to have your own will, and to answer the order of your Lord. Your countries are the best countries, full with funds, men and wealth. All you need to do is to be resolved about the issue, and unite your word, and rely on your Lord, then you will change these rulers and appoint a ruler for you giving him allegiance to hear and obey according to the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger so as to rule you with the word of Allah and to gather these entities in one state, which is the Khilafah state, and to declare Jihad on the Jewish state, to eradicate her from the roots, and to finish the American dominance and the dominance of other kufr states over any Islamic country, and to throw out all their armies from all the Islamic countries, and to carry out Islam as a message of guidance and light for all the world.

Allah (SWT) says:

“And say, work (righteousness) soon will Allah observe your work and His Messenger, and the believers”¹

¹ Surah at-Tawbah (9): 105

American Military Presence in the Gulf

“Saddam Hussein deserves a high American decoration one day. Look what he did: He gave the US an opportunity to use some old ammunition in the gulf war; to test some new equipment; to establish a permanent military presence in a sensitive area; to make Arab nationalities buy weapons they don't need; to keep oil prices down; to open the way for the Middle East peace process.... The US moved into the gulf and basically took it over” - a former US diplomat writing in a Los Angeles paper last year.

The Washington Post Newspaper published in November 1995 a report about the military relationship between the US and the Gulf States; where it mentioned that the military presence in the Gulf has taken on unprecedented dimensions in terms of quality and size. According to the statistics of the American Ministry of Defence, the States of the Gulf Co-operation Council signed, since 1990, military contracts with Washington that had a value of over 72 billion dollars. This value presents arms sales and the building of military bases and utilities. The American Officials and strategic experts agree that the arms, which the Gulf States bought lately, exceed their needs and are beyond the capacity of their armed forces.

David Mack, the previous official for “Arab Peninsula affairs” in the American Foreign Office said, *“In order that the American Government justify this huge military massing, it resorted to exaggerate the danger of Iraq and Iran. And under the cover of implementing the banning of Iraqi flights over the South of Iraq, there exists for the US 170 planes (of differing types), following the Air Force of the Marines; most of these planes are spread over air bases in Saudi Arabia, isolated from the residential centres, and without being officially recognised by the US or Saudi Arabia.”*

There are different estimates for the number of American soldiers in Saudi Arabia and in the whole area of the Gulf. The Washington Post mentioned that there are 9,000 soldiers in the area inside landbases, mainly in Saudi Arabia.

The strategic expert “Michel Don” who edits a periodical report about strategic affairs in the Middle East and East Asia, considers it difficult to define the number of American, soldiers, particularly because of “continuous military exercises”. But Michel Don added in an interview with the Arab Newspaper “As-Safeer” that the American presence in the area through treaties for weapons storage and accumulation, those treaties which assume the presence of American forces to maintain and operate these weapons and for other special tasks, together

with the continuous exercises, all have made this presence, practically permanent, though officially it is not.

And according to the Washington Post, it is expected that the number of military exercises, which the US carried out and will carry out this year with her allies in the Middle East, will reach 60, in which 50,000 American soldiers would participate.

Michel Don further points out that the number of American Warships in the Gulf area peaked at 43 in September 1995 after the flight of Hussein Kamal from Iraq to Jordan, the highest since the Gulf War. Don describes the American presence in Saudi Arabia and being "large, but with a low profile". This is contrary to the American presence in Iran at the time of the Shah. Don continued to say that: *"You can't see the American soldiers in the streets of Riyadh, but they are in Dhahran, and in air bases far from the residential centres, to avoid creating cultural and political sensitivities, and so that their presence does not become a tool in the hands of the Islamic opposition"*.

Besides the military land presence, the US has more than 15,000 marines, in a new frame it has called this year as "The fifth Navy". This is the first time Washington has organised a new navy since the 2nd World War. Though this naming was not necessarily accompanied by an increase in the number of Warships, but it is a clear indication that this large marine presence in the Gulf since the Gulf War will continue for a long time, and will become a permanent part of the American military presence in the area.

The American ships carry additional equipment to arm 10,000 soldiers in emergency cases. And since the end of the Gulf war, Washington has hastened to conclude many military pacts with the Gulf States, the most prominent of which are pacts with Kuwait and Qatar to store heavy military equipment, enough to arm an American division in each of the two countries. It also arrived in principle to an agreement with the United Arab Emirates similar to that concluded with Qatar and Kuwait. David Mack says that the equipment stored in the territories of these States and on the American ships is enough to supply any American division anywhere in the world.

Though America did not sign a similar pact with Saudi Arabia, the military arrangements, and measures between the two countries are much deeper and wider. And as Don says, that which America carries out in Saudi Arabia is the building of a fighting air presence of far reaching importance, as it concentrates on storing the various supporting land equipment required for a huge air force.

And according to a copy of the American pact with Qatar, which the Washington Post obtained, the agreement gives the US a totally free access to Qatar

International Airports and to its Sea Ports and Military bases. It also gives the American forces the right of entry to Qatar without the need of passports.

Allah (swt) has commanded us in the Qur'an:

"O you who believe: do not ally with my enemy and your enemy, do not grant them your gratitude because they rejected the truth you believe in"²

And in regard to direct support from a disbelieving military force Muhammad (saw) said clearly: *"Do not seek power from the fire of the pagans ..."* and as reported by Abu Hameed Al-Saidi: *"We do not accept the assistance of the Mushriks"*.

² Surah Al-Mumtahinah (60):1

American Expansionist Policy

Expansionist policy remains at the forefront of the US's agenda. Smiling Uncle Sam is apparently too greedy to let the third world go by unexploited. Also, Uncle Sam is clever enough to issue policy under the cloak of poetic semantics. With the demise of the Cold War, imperialism remains the game. Only the name is now different. It is now called humanitarian intervention, and the toys involved are the UN and other institutions. Under the banner of the UN, America and her European cronies are capable of obtaining resources in exchange for virtually nothing.

Human Rights Vs Oil Rights

The reality of the UN and, humanitarian intervention is surfacing more and more everyday. UN policy works in conformity only with the West's agenda. America has basically expressed covertly one sole reason for any intervention, the presence of resources. America also moves when its other vital interests are at stake. A great deal of effort is concerted toward the exportation of Western ideology. Many of the conflicts result from political differences and they naturally require political solutions. "Intervention for humanitarian purposes leads inevitably to political tasks," espoused Michael Mandelbaum in Foreign Policy Magazine. In Somalia, once oil was the issue, America intervened to procure "oil rights" rather than secure "human rights". The intervention was intended to change and control the political order of Somalia so that future exploitation would not be inconvenient. America has basically begun to radically alter and manipulate the Muslim world in particular. Democracy, freedom and Capitalism are hailed as the way.

The policies now being supported by the UN are in direct conflict with the ideas and laws of Islam. Under the UN, population control policies will be issued, new nation states will be created and the Kufr ideology of Democracy will be propagated. Under the UN, policies will also be formulated to fuel conflicts, as in the case of Bosnia and wherever intervention is not for the benefit of the Muslim Ummah.

Where should the Ummah stand on the issue of the UN?

Aside from the blatant hypocrisy of the UN and its utilisation by foreign nations to further their own interests, the Ummah should analyse the UN charter and comprehend Islam's perspective on the UN.

In article one it states: "...to bring about by peaceful means and in conformity with the principles of justice and international law." This article introduces the notion of international law. The UN charter at large is more of a constitution which not only develops its structure but also constructs the basis for international law. According to Islam, Allah (swt) is the legislator. The notion of international law is man-made and undeserving of recognition. Islam should constitute the only source of laws.

Article two states: "To develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples." This article contradicts Islam in two ways and goes on to hinder the progression of Muslims in another. The development of friendly relations among nations entails the maintenance of the present global order, a global order founded on the principle of nationalism. Nationalism is clearly unlawful in Islam, and the Prophet stated, on the issue of nationalism, "Leave it, it stinks."

The issue extends beyond the present world order. Self-determination is also a claimed tenet of the UN. The UN encourages the right of a people to choose their government. At present the Kurds are being encouraged to liberate themselves by forming a new nation-state. Self-determination contradicts Islam because it too is based on nationalism and the supremacy of the people rather than Allah (swt). From another perspective, if there were an Islamic state none of its constituents would be given the option of seceding under the notion of self-determinism. The Khilafah will insure that all those living under it would abide by Islam and would seek to dissolve all artificial borders.

This article also explains the pro-Western oriented policies of the UN. The more nation-states there are in the Muslim world, the further any potential unity will be. Although a subtle statement, self-determination is a lethal policy. It is interesting to note that self-determination was denied to the Muslims in Algeria. Apparently, people are free to choose their way of life so long as they choose democracy.

Article four states: "All members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against territorial integrity or political independence of any state or in any other manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations." Islamic foreign policy directly collides with this article. Islamic foreign policy is based on carrying the Islamic Da'wah to the whole world.

Article 92 states: "The International Court of justice shall be the principle judicial organ of the UN. It shall function in accordance with the annexed statute, which is based upon the statute of the Permanent Court of International Justice, and forms an integral part of the present charter."

Article 94 goes on to say: "Each member of the UN undertakes to comply with the decision of the International Court of justice in any case to which it is a party."

The above two articles place the disbelievers and their institutions as judges over the believers. Allah (swt) states in the Qur'an;

"Do they seek the judgement of Jahiliyah? Allah is the best of judges if they only knew."³

Allah (swt) also says,

"If any do fail to judge by what Allah has revealed, they are unbelievers."⁴

These two Ayat establish that allowing the International Court of Justice to arbitrate the affair's of the Muslims is unlawful. The Islamic state would not at all submit to the decisions of the International Court, and it would not even be a participant in the UN.

These and other articles establish that the UN is a kufr organisation and must be rejected by the Muslims. Beyond this, Muslims should be aware that the UN is notoriously hypocritical. The UN is not out to aid Islam. Thus, protesting before it would achieve nothing. Focus should be on the establishment of Islam, otherwise the precarious predicament of today's Muslims will linger over the heads of future generations. Let us all reject the UN and accept Islam as the only source for solutions and judgements.

³ Surah Al-Mai'da (5):50

⁴ Surah Al-Mai'da (5):44

The International Struggle over Africa

On August 7th 1998, two explosions took place, one of which was at the American embassy in Nairobi, the capital of Kenya and the other at the American embassy in Dar-es-Salaam, the capital of Tanzania. On August 20th 1998, America carried out missile attacks on a pharmaceutical plant in Sudan and on five locations in Afghanistan, and one location in Pakistan near the Afghan border.

Sandy Berger, the American National Security Advisor, stated that the military plan to strike Afghanistan and Sudan was prepared five days after the attack on the American embassies. The American investigators stated on August 19th 1998, that the investigation regarding the explosions at the two embassies was progressing but had not yet reached a satisfactory result, though Clinton said that the investigation had reached a convincing result.

This contradiction between the statements of the investigators and Clinton, and the statement of the National Security Advisor that the plan was prepared on August 12th 1998, indicated that Clinton had decided to attack regardless of the investigation and its result. The English newspaper, "The Independent" wrote on August 23rd 1998, that Clinton knew from American intelligence that the Sudanese pharmaceutical plant (Ash-Shifa) was a non-military plant and that it had no relationship with manufacturing chemical weapons, yet he ordered to strike it.

The legal advisor for the plant (who is of the Sudanese opposition) confirmed that the plant did not deal, in any manner, with matters of chemical weapons. The Jordanian engineers who know that plant well confirm that the plant didn't produce anything except pharmaceutical products. Moreover, the Sudanese government called for a committee of experts from the United Nations to carry out investigations and to confirm to the world the fallacy of Clinton's claims, and that the plant had no trace of chemical weapons or of substances which could be transformed into chemical weapons.

So why did Clinton order to strike the plant when he knew that it had no relationship with the production of weapons or the production of substances that are used in the manufacture of chemical weapons? "

Al-Mushahid ad-Dowliyy, on August 23rd 1998, answered this question in saying "*The destruction of the plant is payment for the bill of (Monicagate).*" Clinton considers

that his destiny looks like the destiny of Nixon. His supporters claim that there is a right wing conspiracy, which endeavours to remove him from the Presidency. The independent investigator, Starr, chases Clinton relentlessly. He called Monica Lewinsky to testify in front of the grand jury after Clinton presented his testimony. It appears that Starr wants to prove that Clinton lied in his testimony of August 17th 1998 and he wants to include in his report to the congress enough evidence to remove Clinton from the Presidency. It seems that the removal became a nightmare for Clinton which made him stumble in his actions and decisions.

In addition to this, American foreign policy has been afflicted with failure in many regions. In Kosovo, America was unable to use NATO force to strike against the Serbs and to strengthen the KLA because of the stubbornness and unsteadiness of Russian, British, and French positions. In the Middle East, Netanyahu took advantage of the Whitehouse's preoccupation with the Clinton scandal and ordered the building of 200 residential units and 200 tourist units in the Golan Heights. In Iraq, the problem of Saddam's refusal to deal with the inspectors returned, and with it resurfaced America's embarrassment.

These factors drove the Clinton administration to strike Sudan and Afghanistan as a means of restoring pride in front of the American and worldly public opinion after these failures, also as a sort of propaganda operation in front of the American people to gain their support in his trial to remove the danger of impeachment. Is he going to survive or not? America claims that she is fighting terrorism and calls the world's governments to co-operate with her in fighting terrorism. An international conference was held in Sharm-al Shaikh (Egypt) to fight terrorism. Now there is a call for a new conference to make decisions to fight terrorism. But what is terrorism? Alex Schmidt, in his book *Political Terrorism* says, "At this moment there are more than 100 definitions used in international circles and this exists even inside the American administration which has undertaken the leadership of the campaign against terrorism". Isn't the action which America carried out itself terrorism? Rather, the ugliest terrorism, the terrorism of the arrogant state.

Yesterday America used to support the fight in Afghanistan against the regime supported by the Soviet Union. America did not call that fight terrorism, rather she called it Jihad. While the fight against the Israeli occupation, and the fight against the American occupation of Saudi Arabia, the Gulf and others, she is pleased to call terrorism. Had America, as she claims, been committed to International Law, she would not have engaged in striking the pharmaceutical plants in Khartoum nor would she have engaged in striking Afghanistan. Rather, she would have brought the case to the Security Council since she claims to have convincing evidence. The Security Council would take the appropriate punitive measures.

O Muslims! America deals with the Islamic lands as if they are her own farms. She deals with the rulers of the Muslims as if they are her servants, rather her slaves. She deals with the Islamic peoples as if they are tools. She exploits them for her service. So she plunders the wealth of the Islamic lands by manipulating Muslims to carry out this plunder for her as Israel manipulates the people of Palestine to build her the settlements in their own land and at the expense of their own rights. This is not the first aggression of America against the Islamic Ummah. Yesterday she hit Iraq and she has laid siege to it. She also hit Libya and laid siege to it. She helped the Jews to steal Palestine and to expel its people and she continues to help them. She occupies Saudi Arabia and imposes upon the Gulf her hegemony so that she plunders the oil and the wealth from their treasures. Look at Saudi Arabia and the gulf countries, how they sink under the burden of debt despite their huge wealth. And all of this is because of the hegemony of America and her allies imposed upon the region of the Islamic world.

America is a true enemy to the Islamic Ummah. Her allies who share with her in the aggression against the Islamic Ummah or who support her in this aggression like the English and the French and others, are true enemies to the Islamic Ummah. It is incorrect to treat the enemy as a friend. It is only the fool who treats the enemy as a friend. And the result of doing so will be evil. Allah (swt) advised us by saying:

“Shaytan is an enemy to you, so you have to take him as an enemy.”

We do not mean that by taking America as an enemy that we bomb the embassies or attack the people. Because Islam orders us to protect the covenant of protection for whoever we gave it to. But when America occupied and hits us on our own ground, destroys our factories and homes and kills us without respecting any ties or covenants as if we are insects that have no sanctity or dignity, without any justification, in addition to her previous aggression, what would the world expect of Muslims?

O' Muslims: you must treat America and those who supported her as an arrogant enemy, by severing the relationships, closing down the embassies, stopping all trade and all dealings, expelling their citizens, and freezing their assets. More importantly, the Muslim's rulers must abolish any more political treaties and expel all military forces and to close down any military. They must also close their waters, lands and spaces to entry or passage from any of the enemy states. They have also to break off any influence and remove any agent or spy for these states in the Islamic lands. This position is required from every ruler in the Islamic lands, not only from the ruler of the country, which was the target of aggression. This is because Muslims are one single Ummah. The Prophet (saw)

said *"The Muslims are like the body, if one of its members complained, the other members will respond in sleeplessness and fever"*. So aggression against any Islamic land is considered as an aggression against all Muslims.

It may be said that the Muslims rulers will not implement any of these actions, and the maximum thing they will do is shy condemnation as a means of discharging the anger of their peoples. To that we say, yes, this is what is expected of these rulers. In this situation arises the role of the people, not in exploding the embassies and killing the foreigners, but rather in changing these rulers. For it is error and complacency in the obligation to leave in power these puppet, vicious (Fasiq) rulers who govern with Kufr and implement the policies of America or other Kufr countries. Such a ruler, who has in his control the army, the police, and all the forces and institutions and in whose hand lies the implementation of the laws, the funds of the country, who is occupying the seat of power. It is haram to leave in power such a ruler who is spreading corruption in the land, and protecting the influence of the Americans and their allies, and to distract oneself from that by challenging a little of the Kuffar's influence.

But can the Islamic peoples change their rulers? Yes, definitely. America and its allies will never be able to protect the despicable puppet ruler from his people, particularly when such a ruler is a coward who protects his own interests and the interests of a foreign country and discards the interests of his people, the pride of his people and his country and the ideology, Islam, of his people.

Yes, now comes the role of the Islamic people. It has been a long time since the Islamic peoples have lost their role. They kept silent about Musatafa Kemal when he destroyed the Khilafah by the help of the English and the other Kufr states. They kept silent about the colonial states as they divided the Islamic land into small pieces to make it easy for them to rule according to the principle of divide and rule. They kept silent about the implementation of Kufr systems upon them instead of the Shari'ah of the Qur'an. They kept silent about their insignificant rulers established by the kuffar so as to be guards for them who protect their interests. They kept silent about their humiliation by the Jews, the Americans, the English, the French and other colonial Kufr states and they kept silent about poverty, deprivation, while they witnesses their huge wealth plundered. We remember in this regard the saying of Muhammad (saw). *"It is about the gathering of nations around us like those gathering around a feast. Somebody asked "Is it because we will be few at that time?" He (saw) said, "Rather you will be many at that time but you will be like the foam on the ocean . . .and Allah (swt) will take from the chest of your enemies the fear of you and will throw in your hearts Al Wahan". Somebody asked, "O Messenger of Allah, what is Al-Wahan?" He (saw) said, "It is to love the Dunya and to hate the death."*

USA at war with ISLAM

Now America doesn't fear us and the Jews (the most cowardly people) do not fear us, and the rest of the Kuffr countries do not fear us. And we hate the death and we love the dunya despite what it has for us of shame and humiliation. But a new dawn is rising over the Islamic Ummah. She has started to quest for the return of Islam as her way of life, so as to come back to her previous might and glory. Her sons have started to work for establishing the Khilafah to restore Islam as a system for life, state and society. Many of her sons are not distracted by the dunya, nor do they hate death nor fear it, rather they seek Shahada.

O Muslims! Clinton, the man of scandals, wants to teach us Islam. He says resistance against America is terrorism which Islam rejects. Working towards establishing the Islamic State which governs with the revelation of Allah (swt) is fundamentalism which Islam rejects and the surrender of Palestine and Al-Quds to Jews is tolerance that Islam accepts and submission to America so that she plunders the wealth of the Gulf and Saudi Arabia and control over the sacred places of Muslims is a policy that Islam accepts.

O Muslims! America and other Kuffr States forbid the Muslims from possessing Atomic weapon or any weapons of mass destruction. While America and other Kuffr states including Israel are allowed to possess these weapons. Forbidding Muslims from possessing Atomic weapons and weapons of mass destruction is to keep Muslims weak, so that America, Britain, France impose their hegemony upon Muslims and Islamic lands so that Muslims will not be able to establish the Khilafah and restore the rule by what Allah (swt) revealed and so that they don't return to their previous might and glory and so that they don't remove America and her allies from the region of the Islamic world.

O' Muslims! The world will not recognise you until you become a real power feared by others. And you will not become such a power unless you unite. And you will not unite unless you hold by the rope of Allah (Islam) and establish the Khilafah and implement Islam.

“Hold all of you fast to the rope of Allah and do not separate yourselves”

Our Target was Terror, Our Vision was Clear

Once again the American establishment has shown its insatiable appetite for Muslim blood. The bombings in Afghanistan and Sudan, justified under the forever-spurious pretext of national security, signal a war against all Muslims.

“Our target was terror, our vision was clear”- With these hypocritical words Clinton justified the ruthless bombings. American foreign policy towards the Muslims has always been to beat Muslims into submission so that America can ride roughshod over Muslim lands and secure its vested interests. American terrorism has inflicted more suffering on the world than any other country in modern history. It has terrorised the ‘Third world’ by placing dictators to do the dirty work of keeping the weak and oppressed under control. It is the height of hypocrisy for America to blame Osama bin Laden for ‘terrorism’ (Any Muslim working for Islam is defined as a terrorist by the American establishment), when it has shown the most ugliest desire for terrorism by installing dictators and tyrants all around the world. The examples are numerous, the victims are countless: the US overthrow of Massaged (Iran 1953), Arbenz (Guatemala, 1954), Goulart (Brazil, 1964), Bosch (Dominican Republic, 1965), Estenssoro (Bolivia, 1964) Sukarno (Indonesia, 1965) were all replaced by hand-picked, US supported tyrannical regimes. Who is the real terrorist?

The biggest terrorist state in the world has bombed Muslims, by demonising them as terrorists. Let us Muslims be clear -whoever is responsible for the bombings - Islam does not condone the killing of innocent people. It is America that condones and supports terrorism.

Tony Blair endorsed the bombings against the Muslims. He said: *“I strongly endorse this American action against international terrorists”*. American and Britain (with its experience of terrorising the Muslim world-or colonialism as it is conveniently called) are playing on words and using the clever techniques of propaganda to conceal their hypocrisy and terrorism.

Let us Muslims be weary of what Allah says about such terrorists:

“And when it is said unto them make not mischief in the earth, they but say, ‘ We are the peace makers’, but indeed they are the mischief makers but they realise it not.”⁵

⁵ Surah Al-Baqarah (2):11

It is a sad fact that the leaders of our treacherous regimes in Muslim countries will not unify all the Muslim armies to protect Muslim land. For this is indeed what Allah commands us to do. Just because the Muslim regimes show their true colours in such crisis, does not mean that we must be negligent in our duty to condemn this action against Muslims. The bombings are but another tragedy to add to the sufferings of the Muslim Ummah. Muslims should view these bombings as part of the global plan of American hegemony to suppress Islam and the Muslims and which is intimately connected with the suffering of Muslims in Chechnya, Bosnia, Kosovo and Kashmir inflicted by other kuffar. It is about time that the Muslims broke the chains and shackles of imperialism, rejected the western disease of nationalism and unified as one Ummah under one righteous leader. How much more must Muslims suffer to realise that their security, blood, honour, property and belief can only be preserved under the Khilafah which will always be aware of the conspiracies of the imperialists? It is time for Muslims to make a unified effort and strive for their true destiny.

The Messenger Muhammad (SAW) said: *"Perform jihad against the disbelievers, with your wealth, yourselves and you tongues."* We must speak up against this American hypocrisy of wreaking havoc in Muslim land. We must condemn the bombings as an assault on the whole Muslim Ummah. We must however show perseverance, determination and patience in the face of such persecution.

O' Muslims rise up against the imperialists and fear not the stigma of the media or the plans of the Kuffar. Be unified in your defiance of American hypocrisy and in your defiance of all Kufur! Fight in the way of Allah and protect the honour of your fellow Muslims for you are not different nationalities but one unified indivisible Ummah and remember that in the end victory is with Allah.

O' Muslims demonstrate against US aggression outside the American embassy. Allah (SWT) has promised us that whatever we do against the enemy of Allah will not be in vain and the believers will receive for this little a handsome reward.

America Offers \$5m Bounty on Bin Laden, but Allah (swt) offers Paradise

Once again the US government has resorted to common law gangster tactics in order to gain some advantage over the Muslims and continue their hegemony over Muslim Land.

Yesterdays \$5m bounty on the head of Osama Bin Laden is indicative of President Clinton and his terrorist governments antics whilst in power. It wasn't so long ago that the US brutally violated the sovereignty of two Muslim countries and threatened to unilaterally kill thousands of innocent Iraqi Muslims striving to escape from the inhuman sanctions levied against them for the perceived threat to US military and economic interests in the Gulf.

Could it be that President Clinton is so naive that he hasn't realised that even so called moderate Muslims are outraged by his aggressive actions and total disregard for Islam and Muslims.

Sheikh Osama Bin Laden is not just another Mujahid for Muslims today, he is a hero who stands for divine justice and freedom from oppression. Any action against him is seen as action against the whole Ummah (Muslims World-wide) and the consequences for the US if they attempt to capture him is bound to cause a severe backlash from the hard line Islamists and Mujahideen everywhere.

The US is not capable of engaging with the Muslims in full scale Jihad. They would be better off leaving Bin Laden alone. Instead they should concentrate on the cause of his Jihad against the US i.e. their presence in the Gulf, their support of the Apartheid State of Israel and the continued murder and starvation of Men Women and Children in Iraq by their cruel sanctions.

O' Muslims, the US government is appealing to you with a \$5m bribe to spy against your brother Sheikh Osama Bin Laden, which will lead you to Hellfire since spying against Muslims is forbidden (Haram).

Verily Allah (SWT) says:

*"Do not spy on each other"*⁶

⁶ Surah Al-Hujuraat (49):12

The words of Allah (SWT) "Do not spy" are general in their meaning therefore whoever has any information about Muslim individuals or groups in relation to what could cause harm to the Islamic cause or to him/her and delivers it to the enemy of Islam e.g. the regimes of the world today or to the Media is sinful and Islam counts him/her as a betrayer to Allah and His Messenger Muhammad (SAW) for Allah says:

"Do not betray Allah nor Betray His messenger"

Moreover the Messenger Muhammad (SAW) said: *"Whoever betrays, deceives or cheats us is not one of us"*.

O' Muslims, beware and be aware that this US government, like any man-made government honours no words, treaties or trust and so take precaution for Allah says:

"Verily if the unbelievers have authority over you, they will not respect with you any trust, agreement or covenant. With their mouths they will have fair words in front of you but their hearts are averse from you and most of them are rebellious, betrayers and wicked."⁷

O' Moderates: don't think that the enemy of Islam will honour you rather you will be humiliated by them and by the believers in this life and you will be punished severely in the Hereafter for Allah (SWT) says:

"To the Hypocrites give glad tidings that there is for them (but) a grievous punishment. Those who take for friends unbelievers: is it honour they seek among them? Nay, all honour is with Allah"⁸

O' Muslims, support Sheikh Osama Bin Laden and your Islamic movements wherever they may be whether physically, verbally or financially in the Jihad against the occupiers of Muslims land e.g. The US troops in Arabia, the Serbian forces in Kosovo, the Hindu Forces in Kashmir and the Israelis in Palestine etc.

O' Muslims, Sheikh Osama Bin Laden and your Islamic Movements wherever they may be and be part of their struggle to establish Al-Khilafah (The Islamic State) in order to implement the whole Shari'ah and to conquer the World By Islam (Insha-Allah).

⁷ Surah At-Tawba (9):8

⁸ Surah Ar-Nisa` (4):138-139

Pillars of the US Campaign Against Islam, Muslims & the Islamic World

America depends, in her campaign against Islam, Muslims and the Islamic world, on a number of pillars, tools and slogans:

The First Pillar

Its international weight and influence in the Islamic world, particularly after the second Gulf War policy which resulted in the consolidation of her influence in the whole Islamic region. Due to this weight and influence the existing states in the Islamic world became more compliant to American pressure and plans aimed at suppressing Islam by forcing Muslims to embrace Capitalism.

The Second Pillar

While America is the leader of the Capitalist states, she ensures that others participate in this campaign. She uses their influence and their agents to guarantee the success of this campaign in the whole Islamic world particularly as these states do not differ from America in viewing Islam as a threat to them, to their proper influence and interests.

The Third Pillar

The international law, and its tools represented by the UN, its charter and its other sub-organisations and institutions which America employs to implement its plans and cover with the international law. And the measures, which it deems necessary to take, whether, these measures are political, economic, military or other.

The Fourth Pillar

The world media dominated by America and her allies, which is used as one of the most deadly weapons in its campaign by using them to propagate its slogans. The media is used to distort the image of Islam and to incite the world against those who adhere to it, portraying them as fundamentalists, zealots, extremists and terrorists. This weapon should not be underestimated especially after the revolution in telecommunications in the second half of this century which has transformed the world into a tiny village where the written, audible and visual media has access into every single home.

The Fifth Pillar

Perhaps the ugliest and most dangerous of these pillars are the agent rulers and the circle around them of underlings, hypocrites, opportunists and those lured by the Kafir culture and fascinated by its way of life. This circle also includes those who pretend to care about Islam whether they are government scholars or those presented to the people as Islamic intellectuals from some Islamic movements who are in reality nothing more than secularists, calling for the detachment of the Deen from life.

The American Tools

All of these serve the American campaign by turning the Muslims away from their Deen to Capitalism by all ways and means including media misinformation, distortion of the concepts and rules of Islam, the implementation of Kufr laws, as well as setting up legislation necessary for this implementation. They also serve it by subjugating the state in the Islamic world with various treaties, pacts and constraints to keep them under the influence of the Kuffar and fulfilling their role in executing the plots of the Kuffar to impoverish the Ummah to do away with the Islamic values in her besides the attack against the sincere aware sons of the Ummah to silence them, thereby spreading an atmosphere of submission and intimidation over the people, so that no one dares to voice the truth. All for the purpose of humiliating the Ummah and forcing her to submit to Kufr and the Kuffar.

These are the most important pillars, which America relies upon in her campaign against Islam and the Muslims, for the purpose of suppressing Islam through forcing the Muslims to embrace Capitalism. This campaign manifests itself in four slogans, which essentially constitute the core of Capitalism: democracy, pluralism, human rights and free market policies.

The Basis of the American Slogans

Before addressing these slogans and refuting them in detail it is necessary to explain the falsehood of the basis from which they emanate. This basis is the Capitalist creed, i.e. the creed of detaching "Religion" from life. This creed does not result from a rational process, nor even from a logical one, rather it is a compromise between two contradictory ideas; the idea which the clergy used to call for in the "Medieval Ages", namely the submission of everything in this life to the "Religion", i.e., Christianity and the idea which some thinkers and philosophers called for, namely the denial of the existence of a Creator.

So the idea of detachment of the "Religion" from life is a compromise solution between these two sides. A compromise solution is conceivable between two similar views where there is some disparity, but it is inconceivable to exist between two contradictory views. Either there is a Creator who created man, universe and life and accordingly this discussion will then be about whether this

Creator has prescribed a system for man to proceed with, in this worldly life, and Who will account him after death on his adherence to this system, or, there is no Creator and accordingly the religion would not be detached from worldly life but rather would be rejected from it.

But to say there is no importance in the existence or non-existence of a Creator is a matter, which does not convince the mind or reassure the *Nafs* (soul). Therefore the mere fact that the Capitalist doctrine is a result of a compromise in an issue which allows no such compromise is enough in itself to refute this doctrine for both those who believe in the existence of a Creator or those who deny His existence.

The decisive rational evidence leads to the conclusion that there is a Creator Who created man, universe and life, and that this Creator has prescribed a system for man to follow in this life and He will account him after death on his adherence to this system. However, the issue at hand is neither to discuss the existence of the Creator nor the system which He set up for man, rather it is to discuss the Capitalist doctrine and to expose its falsehood. In this regard it is enough to establish the falsehood by the fact that this creed is a compromise between two contradictory opinions and that it is not built on reason.

The refutation of the Capitalist creed is sufficient to refute the whole of the Capitalist ideology because whatever is built on falsehood is itself false. This means that there is no need to discuss in detail the fundamentals of the ideology. But as some of these ideas have achieved widespread circulation throughout the world and they have gained acceptance by some Muslims, and because they form the slogans of the American campaign in its ferocious attack on Muslims and Islam, it has become necessary to address them, show their falsehood as well as their contradiction with Islam. Accordingly it is prohibited for Muslims to adopt them and it is obligatory to reject them and challenge all those who propagate them.

Declaration of the Conference of Islamic Revival Movements -20th Nov. 1998

1. The Islamic Movements agree that the US government and their alliance are the greatest enemy for Islam and Muslims today. They require us to put all of our recourses together in order to counter the aggression whether it be co-operation militarily, politically or economically.
2. The Islamic Movements endorse the struggle of Sheikh Osama Bin Laden against the US, their alliance and all non-Muslim forces in Muslim countries. We agree that all existing regimes in the world without exception are not Islamic whether in Muslim countries or elsewhere.
3. The Islamic Movements agree that the global problems we face are a direct result of the absence of the Islamic State (Al-Khilafah) and we need to co-operate and work together to fulfil the duty of its re-establishment
4. The Islamic Movements are united in their condemnation of the oppression and atrocities committed by western regimes against Muslims and particularly those in Iraq, Palestine, Libya, Sudan and Afghanistan by the United States and Britain.
5. The Islamic Movements will never remain silent in the face of such aggression and humiliation. Rather they will ensure that they co-operate to highlight the open and hidden agendas of their common enemies so that the Muslim masses have full awareness of their Islamic obligations and duties in response.
6. The Islamic Movements agree to meet at short notice in order to formulate a firm response to any action threatened against or taken against Muslims wherever they may be. This may involve verbal, financial and physical co-operation.
7. Although political unity requires an Islamic State (Al-Khilafah) co-operation to remove the obstacles in the way of revival is possible in the areas of our resources and manpower
8. Obstacles in the way of revival include Secularism, Nationalism, Partisanship and lack of awareness about Islam as a solution for all our problems

9. The Islamic Movements will continue their struggle against the corruption of man-made law and the distortion of Islam by the Media. We pledge to continue calling the society to Islam, commanding good, forbidding evil and working sincerely for the domination of the World by Islam and the Supremacy of Allah's commands on Earth, which is inevitable (Insha-Allah)

“Say: “O God! Lord of Power (And Rule), Thou givest power to whom Thou pleasest, and Thou strippest off power from whom Thou pleasest: Thou enduest with honour whom Thou pleasest, and Thou bringest low whom Thou pleasest: In Thy hand is all good. Verily, over all things Thou hast power.”⁹

⁹ Surah Al-Imran (3):26